

Welcome to this month's expert chat and a big thank you to Nicola for her excellent talk and for being here today to answer our questions. Lady Margaret Beaufort is a fascinating character, such a strong woman. Please do ask your questions!

Lorna Wanstall22:59

Nicola, how did MB get on with her mother?

Claire Ridgway22:59

Hi Clare!

Clare Shepherd22:59

Evening everyone.

Nicola Tallis22:59

Hello everyone, I hope you're all well. Thank you so much for the invitation, it's a pleasure to be here. Please do ask away!

Bill Wolff23:00

MB is one of my medieval heroines. What do you think Nicola, of the way she is portrayed on the screen?

Claire Ridgway23:00

Hi Kiri!

Claire Ridgway23:00

Hi Roland!

Nicola Tallis23:00

Thanks for your question Lorna. To all intents and purposes mother and daughter seem to have been very close. Margaret was very family orientated, and spent time with her mother throughout the course of her life.

Kiri Wood23:00

Hi!

Roland Hui23:00

hello all!

Alana Ask23:01

Hi everyone

Claire Ridgway23:01

Hi Alana!

Elizabeth Alex23:01

Another hello for the latest arrivals.

Clare Shepherd23:02

I've been reading your book and have been impressed how Margaret and her son were bonded yet seldom met. How do you explain their loyalty, Nicola?

Nicola Tallis23:02

Thanks for your question Bill. When I began researching Margaret I had those impressions of her on screen etched into my mind, but my viewpoint ended up being largely opposite. With that in mind I haven't been overly keen on many of the on screen portrayals of Margaret. But, I am a fan of the Shadow of the Tower, and I think the depiction in that series is superb.

Bill Wolff23:03

Totally agree.

Kiri Wood23:03

When do you think Margaret's ambition to get her son on the throne began? Or do you think it wasn't really driven by her, she just supported those who wanted him on the Throne?

Claire Ridgway23:03

Hi Laurie!

Nicola Tallis23:04

Great question Clare! Ultimately, I think the fact that Margaret devoted her entire life to Henry's protection and his interests really served to bond them. He had a lot to be grateful to his mother for, and he was fully aware that she worked tirelessly on his behalf.

Lorna Wanstall23:05

What influence if any did MB have on Elizabeth of York and Katherine of Aragon.

Roland Hui23:05

Hello Nicola, would you say that MB's current 'crazyop culture' status can partially be attributed to the Gregory books? They might have introduced MB to a wider audience. And yes, 'The Shadow of the Tower' was excellent, particularly the actor who portrayed H7.

Clare Shepherd23:05

Thanks, even though they didn't meet between the ages of 13 and twenty eight. It amazes me how strong Margaret worked for him.

Nicola Tallis23:05

Thanks Kiri, I think 1483 was the real turning point. Prior to that it's clear that Margaret had never considered the throne for her son, and why would she? The House of York appeared to be very secure. Richard III is the turning point, and that happens within a matter of days after he establishes himself as king.

Lorna Wanstall23:05

Roland yes indeed I love the series.

Roland Hui23:05

Hmm, ignore the strange funny face icon - how did that get there??

Nicola Tallis23:06

Very clear that Margaret was the driving force too!

Kiri Wood23:06

Thanks!

Claire Ridgway23:06

Hi Colette!

Lorna Wanstall23:06

Roland damned auto correct

Elizabeth Alex23:06

Nicola, I am growing more and more interested in details such as the favourite things and pastimes of historic figures: Flowers, hobbies, etc. Have you learned much about Margaret?

Roland Hui23:06

Should be 'crazyop', no face

Roland Hui23:06

Sorry, there it is again.

Colette Rice23:06

Hello! I got my time wrong, I think. I thought you'd just started.

Claire Ridgway23:07

Ha! Roland, don't worry, it's just a shortcode for an emoji!

Lorna Wanstall23:07

Collette we have

Claire Ridgway23:07

Colette, we've only just started.

Nicola Tallis23:07

Thanks Lorna, in terms of Katherine it's difficult to say. Margaret certainly took an interest in her, but they spoke different languages and are unlikely to have had much to do with one another really. Different with Elizabeth, Margaret had known her since E was a child and had watched her grow. She very clearly has a hand in many matters involving Elizabeth, and I think the two women were actually pretty close.

Claire Ridgway23:08

I hate that Margaret is seen as some kind of domineering and nasty mother-in-law. A force to be reckoned with, I'm sure.

Lorna Wanstall23:09

What drove MB to marry Stanley..

Claire Ridgway23:09

Hi Carol!

Ceri Creffield23:09

Nicola, Margaret was known as a bluestocking, to use an anachronism. Did she have a particularly good education as a child or was she very much self-taught?

Nicola Tallis23:09

Hi Roland, yes I absolutely would! They've certainly brought Margaret into the limelight, and as you say, brought her to the attention of a wider audience. Not necessarily a good thing unless wider audiences are prepared to go and find out more for themselves though! Totally agree, Shadow of the Tower is superb

Nicola Tallis23:10

Totally agree Clare, it is remarkable when as you say, they rarely met one another. But clearly that bond was there and remained strong until Henry's death.

Nicola Tallis23:10

Who else has seen Shadow of the Tower? What are your thoughts?

Nicola Tallis23:10

And on the White Queen too?

Lorna Wanstall23:11

Love the series... I never get bored watching it

Kiri Wood23:11

I really liked the White Queen

Claire Ridgway23:11

Nicola, I think it's a great series, so much better than some of the modern ones.

Bill Wolff23:11

I just ordered the Shadow DVD from Amazon!!

Kiri Wood23:11

But I haven't seen Shadow of the Tower

Elizabeth Alex23:11

Where does the series air?

Claire Ridgway23:11

I couldn't get into The White Queen series. I felt that the characters were too stereotyped.

Laurie Duerr23:11

I really didn't like how the White Tower portrayed Margaret..

Claire Ridgway23:12

I think YouTube has Shadow of the Tower on it.

Lorna Wanstall23:12

Yes Claire it does

Elizabeth Alex23:12

Thanks Claire.

Ceri Creffield23:12

Margaret is horrible in The White Queen.

Lorna Wanstall23:12

The white queen is a giggle/ the white Princess is hysterical

Roland Hui23:12

What I liked about 'Shadow of the Tower' is that it presented a very human side to H7, and it was nice to see a young H8 and young Katherine of Aragon.

Nicola Tallis23:13

I love this question Elizabeth, so thank you! The simple answer is YES, lots!!!! Where to start? This is one of the things I loved about writing this book, Margaret really came to life for me. She loved perfume, she loved red and white wine, she loved gambling and liked placing bets on the outcomes of games of chess. She LOVED clothes and shoes, she loved jewels, she had two fools, Skip and Reginald the Idiot. Margaret was by no means two-dimensional or boring, she lived life to the full.

Lorna Wanstall23:13

Ceri I agree MB was very creepy in that

Nicola Tallis23:13

I could go on and on with that answer, there's so much we can learn about Margaret.

Kiri Wood23:13

She is always written about (as far as I've seen) as really severe and dour....its nice to hear about the human side of her!

Nicola Tallis23:14

Totally agree Claire, I absolutely love it. Brilliant acting and storylines.

Elizabeth Alex23:14

Ah, fantastic Nicola! Many thanks.

Nicola Tallis23:14

Great choice Bill!

Kiri Wood23:14

Just because she was pious (and I think she was, right?), doesn't mean she never had any fun!

Claire Ridgway23:14

I love insights like that from primary sources, these people then really come alive.

Nicola Tallis23:15

I agree Roland, it was nice to see more of Henry VII. So much emphasis is placed on Henry VIII etc. The series is so worth watching, highly recommend.

Clare Shepherd23:15

Didn't she like playing cards too?

Roland Hui23:15

There was a tv documentary (with reenactments) about the Princes in the Tower, where the finger was pointed at Margaret. Oh Boy!

Colette Rice23:15

What influences would have helped her create such a forceful will and personality, given how young she was married off and? It seems she was set up to be a docile, in-the-background wife, yet she emerged as a great force of the era. How? Just her innate personality? Or some outside influences?

Nicola Tallis23:16

That's so true Kiri, that's what I loved too. We're lucky so many of her account books survive which reveal these details for us. If we didn't have those I fear impressions of her would've remained "dour" and "fanatic"

Lorna Wanstall23:16

The trouble is we know so very little about MB and yet she made a very very big impact and basically brought peace out of chaos

Nicola Tallis23:17

They really do don't they!! We are so fortunate in Margaret's case I think - considering she was a woman living in the fifteenth century, we know quite a lot about her. Largely thanks to her own determination to keep all of her paperwork in tact!

Nicola Tallis23:17

Yes Clare, she loved cards!

Nicola Tallis23:17

Princes in the Tower and Margaret, that pops up a lot!

Kiri Wood23:17

See, paperwork benefits everyone!!

Clare Shepherd23:18

My house is full of the stuff, especially notebooks!

Kiri Wood23:18

I have never understood the MB was behind the Princes' disappearance...where on earth did that come from???

Lorna Wanstall23:18

How did the death of her father affect her.

Colette Rice23:18

How much of a connection did she have with Elizabeth Woodville? Did they actually work together to bring their respective daughter and son together, or is that just Shakespeare's version? (Happy probably birthday to Shakespeare, too!)

Nicola Tallis23:19

I kind of disagree Lorna, I think in comparison to a lot of her contemporaries we know a lot about Margaret. But there are of course always going to be gaps, often around some of the most interesting questions!

Lorna Wanstall23:20

Kiri Haters, easier to blame a nasty tudor for their death.. Couldn't blame Henry as he wasn't about so easier to blame MB whose husband was the tower guardian I believe.

Carol Wisker23:20

Thanks for letting me be a fly on the wall....Inam new to all of this info !

Claire Ridgway23:20

Carol, a big welcome to the chat and the Society!

Lorna Wanstall23:20

Carol, you are welcome

Nicola Tallis23:21

Thanks Colette, I think Margaret was very much shaped by the events and times through which she lived - living in a country racked with instability and in which she was forced to grow up very quickly. She also learned some harsh lessons early on about the unreliability of men - she loses her father, her guardian, her husband, her son in quick succession, and I think all of these events forced her to take control of her own destiny. It was sink or swim.

Carol Wisker23:21

Thanks it is facinating.....

Claire Ridgway23:21

Hi Lynn!

Nicola Tallis23:22

The theory about Margaret and the Princes first appears in the seventeenth century, but there are many who consider it to be credible today.

Ceri Creffield23:23

I didn't realize that rumour went back so far!

Kiri Wood23:23

Wow, not till the 17th century?! That's mad...why on earth would anyone believe it if it took that long to emerge?!

Clare Shepherd23:23

Margaret was truly forged in the fire, her early teen/ twenties life was one disaster after another. I think it made her strong.

Claire Ridgway23:23

I've never understood the whole Margaret and the Princes idea, but then fiction has probably helped that get traction today.

Lorna Wanstall23:23

I don't think anyone murdered the princes. I think they both died of a tersion fever and their bodies were chucked in the moat. I don't believe the bones found are those of the princes

Nicola Tallis23:23

Difficult to know how her father's death affected her, Lorna, because she was so young, but given that she later paid for his tomb it seems likely she felt some kind of affinity with him. She certainly never shied away from her heritage, but on a personal level it's so difficult to say.

Clare Shepherd23:25

I don't see any evidence to connect Margaret and the Princes. In fact there doesn't seem to be much evidence about the incident at all. To me it seems one of the unsolved and unsolvable mysteries.

Kiri Wood23:25

This is why I want time travel to be real...that is what I would go back and find out about!!!

Nicola Tallis23:26

Elizabeth Wydeville, this is another tricky one. Margaret would have come to know her relatively well from her time at court in the 1470s/80s, and was given the honour of carrying E's youngest child, Princess Bridget, to her christening - there was obviously some level of trust there. They did work together to bond Henry and Elizabeth together, but Elizabeth later turned her back on Margaret and made terms with Richard III - not something I think Margaret was likely to forget!

Colette Rice23:27

Oh, good point, Nicola!

Nicola Tallis23:27

Yes it's an old rumour, but one not based on anything tangible - key point is there's no mention of Margaret's involvement at the time, and that's pivotal.

Lorna Wanstall23:27

Clare agreed. It can never be solved, even if the bones found are those of the princes it doesn't explain who killed them, why and why were their bodies buried so far down.

Nicola Tallis23:27

I agree Clare, she grew up quickly because she had no choice - even by fifteenth century standards though, her childhood was cut extremely short!

Nicola Tallis23:28

So true Claire, I think the fiction has a lot to answer for in that respect. There are so many people who really think Margaret could genuinely have been responsible. I don't think she was perfect by any means, but in this case I don't think there's any foundation to the accusation.

Clare Shepherd23:29

Sometimes documents surface hundreds of years later, but I can't see this happening. If the princes had been murdered, it is likely to have been concealed. Fever is an interesting idea.

Claire Ridgway23:29

I know Margaret B was concerned about her granddaughter, Margaret, being sent to Scotland so young and didn't want her marriage to be consummated that early, but did Margaret B ever make any mention of her own experience? It makes me feel sick to think of a 13-year-old giving birth.

Lorna Wanstall23:29

Nicola, but wasn't that the considered norm in the 15th century, girls had perhaps at best 10 years of childhood. MB certainly had a strong will to survive everything that was thrown at her.

Nicola Tallis23:30

I think the Princes are always going to be one of history's most controversial topics - one of the reasons it's so intriguing is because we'll never ever get all of the answers.

Elizabeth Alex23:30

Yes indeed, Kiri. It's hard to imagine navigating life at that time and place. I wonder if Margaret was lucky, smart, or both.

Roland Hui23:30

I always thought Richard III was surprisingly lenient towards Margaret B. as she encouraged treason (compared to say, Henry VIII's treatment of someone like Margaret Pole, later on).

Lorna Wanstall23:31

Trouble is Claire there are still 12 and 13 year olds giving birth today.

Kiri Wood23:31

What actually happened to Margaret's father? It's always come across a bit shady - I've read somewhere he killed himself (can't remember where, it might have been in a novel)

Claire Ridgway23:31

Lorna, yes!

Nicola Tallis23:31

That's really interesting Lorna, I am also fascinated by people's theories! Does anyone else have any views on the fate of the Princes?

Ceri Creffield23:31

I doubt if a man would have been treated as leniently as Margaret was.

Clare Shepherd23:32

Not only sickening, Lorna, but so dangerous, especially as 13 year olds are thought to be more physically mature now than then. Sex and both at that age can bring medical complications later.

Lorna Wanstall23:33

Kiri it's unlikely he killed himself religion back then was far more rigid, he would have known his soul would be damned forever if he took his own life, plus he wouldn't have had a Christian burial

Michelle Nasello23:33

Hi everyone

Colette Rice23:34

I've thought about the Princes a lot, of course. I have wondered about Henry VII and/or Buckingham's involvement. So hard to say.

Claire Ridgway23:34

Re the Princes, I think Richard gave the orders. I think he needed to get rid of rival claimants to secure his kingship. That's what it boiled down to. I mean, his brother, Edward IV, got rid of Henry VI.

Claire Ridgway23:34

Hi Michelle!

Ceri Creffield23:34

I've always leaned towards Buckingham being responsible for the disappearance/murder of the princes.

Nicola Tallis23:34

I agree Claire, it's beyond all modern comprehension. No, Margaret never made any mention of her own experience, although the fact that she says she was worried that James would 'injure her, and endanger her health' seems suggestive that she was drawing on her own recollections. I think that experience scarred Margaret B for life psychologically.

Claire Ridgway23:35

Nicola, yes, physically and emotionally scarred.

Laurie Duerr23:35

Hi Nicola, I'm wondering how much the lingering controversy around the Beaufort "claim" to the throne affected at all, as well as her son's ultimately when he became king, since EoY was a more straightforward direct descendent of a king..?

Elizabeth Alex23:35

Were there many people who would have had access to the princes, in order to fulfill Richard's orders?

Lorna Wanstall23:35

Ceri you aren't on your own there I reckon Buckingham had something to do with it

Nicola Tallis23:36

Yes and no, Lorna. Child marriages weren't uncommon, but even by fifteenth century standards couples often chose to wait until the bride turned 15/16 to consummate. You're absolutely right, she had an instinct for survival and I think that's heightened after her son's birth - suddenly she has someone else to fight for too.

Nicola Tallis23:37

I agree Roland, I think he was lenient towards her and again, several theories as to why. My own feeling is that he couldn't afford to risk losing the support of M's husband, Lord Stanley.

Lorna Wanstall23:37

And I'm right in thinking that Edmund Tudor did get a slap on the wrist for consummating his marriage

Clare Shepherd23:37

I think Shakespeare has so muddied the waters and blackened Richard's name, that it difficult to see the wood for the trees.

Elizabeth Alex23:38

Margaret's early experiences clearly instilled a steely determination to protect herself.

Nicola Tallis23:38

Kiri, we don't know for sure what happened to M's father, but yes, suicide is quite a likely scenario. We know his health was poor, but he was also living in disgrace. Sources are shady, but I think suicide is definitely plausible.

Nicola Tallis23:38

That's true Ceri! I think she was very lucky!

Colette Rice23:39

Shakespeare did have help there! I mean, as I understand it, More was a major source, and More was writing for HVII, so he wasn't going to make RIII look good... I expect that Shakespeare was raised to believe that was the truth. Am I off base of that?

Nicola Tallis23:40

That's interesting Colette, I have also pondered Buckingham as a potential suspect before. You're right though, there are theories about everyone!

Lorna Wanstall23:40

I believe that Richard took the throne to prevent the hassle that there had been with child rulers. The country was still in a bit of a pickle and it needed stability that wouldn't have happened with a child on the throne... I don't agree with the methods Richard used to take the throne however

Ceri Creffield23:40

Do you think, Nicola, that the Stanley marriage was to ensure that all allegiances were covered between them?

Roland Hui23:40

Nicola - do you think Elizabeth of York got along well with MB?

Nicola Tallis23:40

I agree with you Claire, I think Richard was responsible too. And if you look at it from his perspective, removing them was the wisest course of action from a political point of view.

Clare Shepherd23:42

Shakespeare needed Royal approval, and often used older texts for his sources, but he wrote such a powerful play that it is the lasting impression made of Richard, regardless that is pro-Tudor propaganda. Shakespeare wasn't in the business of being balanced or fair.

Ceri Creffield23:42

Colette, More was brought up in Cardinal Morton's household and got much of his information from him. Not a disinterested source by any means!

Clare Shepherd23:43

Thats a good point , Ceri.

Colette Rice23:43

Absolutely, Ceri! Totally agree. I just meant that it was probably what Shakespeare was raised to believe was true history. Maybe I'm misinterpreting that. But it makes sense to me.

Nicola Tallis23:43

Hi Laurie! Nice to "see" you! That's an interesting point - yes E of Y was more straightforward, but she was also a woman - a male successor would always be preferable. I think M was very proud of her Beaufort roots - she certainly made no effort or attempt to shy away from them, and neither did Henry. The stigma of illegitimacy always stuck unfortunately, but difficult to know how this would have affected them personally.

Nicola Tallis23:44

To answer your question Elizabeth, not many people would have had access no, the Constable of the Tower and his men effectively.

Ceri Creffield23:45

Colette, yes, you are right,I think, but it helped to put forward a pro-Tudor stance as well!

Clare Shepherd23:45

I see little difference in the way Richard and Henry VII gained their thrones.

Elizabeth Alex23:45

Thanks Nicola. More restricted than I would have guessed.

Nicola Tallis23:45

Edmund Tudor - not as far as I know Lorna, or not in his lifetime anyway. Unless I've missed something? What I find quite interesting is that for some time Margaret actually wanted to be buried with him!

Nicola Tallis23:46

That's a fair point Clare - I think we could also say the same about Margaret in regards to popular culture!

Laurie Duerr23:46

Thanks Nicola! Great to have you in our chat today! It definitely makes sense about the male succession, even despite the potential illegitimacy..

Nicola Tallis23:47

True Colette, More is a major source but my personal view is that, while some of his narrative isn't accurate, he did have access to some pretty good sources ..

Lorna Wanstall23:47

How would you describe M relationship (loosely worded) with Jasper and Owen Tudor

Clare Shepherd23:47

Popular culture seldom gets it right about historical figures seldom is the black or white view correct.

Clare Shepherd23:49

It's not called the Wars of the Roses for nothing.

Nicola Tallis23:49

Yes Roland, I think they got along pretty well. I'm sure M would have annoyed Elizabeth at times, perfectly understandably, but ultimately most of the evidence we do have suggests that they were quite close. They even founded a chantry chapel together!

Clare Shepherd23:50

Me too Michelle, that's why contemporary sources are so important.

Colette Rice23:50

That's amazing that she wanted to be buried with Edmund!

Nicola Tallis23:50

There's also evidence that More used sources who had close links to those who may have been in a position to know what the fate of the Princes in the Tower may have been ...

Colette Rice23:50

And really interesting to know more about More's sources. Thanks for that!

Colette Rice23:51

Who would his sources have been, Nicola?

Colette Rice23:51

This is so interesting!

Michelle Nasello23:51

Very interesting, must do some digging for more information

Nicola Tallis23:52

M with Jasper and Owen Tudor - I don't think she has much to do with Owen at all, but M was very close to Jasper. She trusted him and knew he would safeguard her son in the same way that Edmund would have done. I think Jasper and Margaret were the two people closest to Henry when he became king, and in turn I think they were also close

Colette Rice23:53

I'm glad she had someone in her life that she felt that way about. Everything I have read makes her seem so alone.

Nicola Tallis23:53

More's sources, for example the sister of the Constable of the Tower, Robert Brackenbury. It's really interesting!

Kiri Wood23:53

I've always been interested in Jasper Tudor...what he sacrificed to keep Henry safe shows his love for his brother I think

Colette Rice23:53

Oh, I'd love to know more about that! Where can I read up on the Constable and his sister?

Nicola Tallis23:54

One of the things that makes me feel quite sad about Margaret is that I don't think she ever fell in love in the romantic sense. I think her son was the true love of her life, but I think most of her marriages were made as a matter of political expediency. Quite sad in some ways, although normal I guess for the time!

Nicola Tallis23:54

I agree Kiri, I think Jasper was a very honourable man

Michelle Nasello23:54

Me too @Colette

Kiri Wood23:55

Oh that is quite sad actually....if she never fell in love

Carol Wisker23:55

Me too @Colette

Lorna Wanstall23:55

Nicola, and a very brave one too, I don't think he ever backed down from a fight

Ceri Creffield23:56

Is it true that Henry VIII was her favourite grandchild?

Nicola Tallis23:56

I don't think there's much info on her as a person, Colette, but that theory comes up in a few books about the Princes in the Tower. And of course, you could always read More's account! Think it's online these days?

Colette Rice23:56

That is sad. I often think... people must have fallen in love, even though their marriages were often made politically in this sphere... and the consequences of an illicit affair, especially for the woman, were significant. So how many people must have just lived with unrequited love? Was there anyone you think M might have had feelings for, even if she couldn't act on them?

Lorna Wanstall23:56

Nicola I think M had some love for Edmund, but it was probably more that he gave her a son.

Colette Rice23:57

Oh, I will look for it online, thank you! (More's account.)

Lorna Wanstall23:57

She probably couldn't be bothered with all the fiddle faddle of romance

Elizabeth Alex23:57

Great question Colette.

Nicola Tallis23:57

Henry VIII as M's favourite grandchild - I think she adored all of her grandchildren really. She certainly spent quite a lot of time with HVIII when he was growing up and gave him a lot of gifts, but the same was true of her granddaughters and Arthur too. I think ultimately she was a very doting grandmother.

Claire Ridgway23:58

It's just coming up to the hour. Thank you so much to Nicola for joining us and answering our questions so well. Thank you also to you Tudor soc members for your great questions. These chats are always fun. Congratulations to Kiri for being picked randomly as the winner of a copy of Nicola's book. We'll be in touch about getting that sent to you.

Our next chat is a Facebook Live in our member-only group next Friday (30th). It's with me and it's on Elizabeth I and Robert Dudley. See the Events section or the Facebook group for full info.

Lorna Wanstall23:59

Nicola I think she would have been heartbroken if she was alive to see how H8 turned out as King

Elizabeth Alex23:59

Thanks so much Nicola, Claire, Tim & All.

Bill Wolff23:59

Thanks NT, loved it. I'm downloading E's Rival!!

Lorna Wanstall23:59

Nice one Kiri, happy reading.

Laurie Duerr23:59

Thanks Nicola and Claire!

Nicola Tallis23:59

That's a great question Colette! Well, I don't think she harboured romantic feelings for Jasper (although she seems to in some popular culture). That's a tough one, who knows?? If it was me, I'd have been thinking that Edward IV was quite a catch!

Claire Ridgway0:00

Thank you, everyone, I just love talking Tudor with you lords and ladies!

Colette Rice0:00

Thank you, Nicola and Claire. Delightful hour!

Kiri Wood0:00

Oh my god!!! That's so exciting!!! Thank you, I didn't even know that was a thing! laugh

Carol Wisker0:00

Thank you all!

Ceri Creffield0:00

Thanks Nicola and everyone for a great chat.

Clare Shepherd0:00

You'll enjoy the book, it is a very interesting work. Thanks Nicola, Claire, Tim and everyone for another grand chat. Good night everyone.

Nicola Tallis0:00

Thank you so much!!! So many great questions, thank you for having me!